1 2 3 4 5 6	Joseph C. George, State Bar No. 119231 Joseph C. George, Jr., State Bar No. 200999 LAW OFFICES OF JOSEPH C. GEORGE, PH.I A Professional Corporation 601 University Avenue, Suite 200 Sacramento, CA 95825 Telephone: 916-641-7300 Facsimile: 916-641-7303 Attorneys for Plaintiff	Superior Court Of California Sacramento 04/28/2014 tsmith By, Deputy Case Number: 34-2014-00162625			
8	CUDEDIOD COURT OF THE CTATE OF CALIFORNIA				
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO				
10 11 12 13 14 15	JOHN ZA DOE by and through his Guardian ad Litem Beatriz Saucedo Plaintiff, v. PARK ROYAL ESTATES MHC, LLC; CASCADE CORPORATE MANAGEMENT, INC., and ROES 3 through 25 inclusive, Defendants.				
17	GENERAL ALLEGATIONS				
18	PLAINTIFF is using a fictitious name in this Complaint under rights to privacy				
19	granted by the Constitution of the State of California due to the sensitive nature of this case. If,				
20	for any reason, Defendants cannot accurately determine the identity of the PLAINTIFF, their				
21	attorney can contact PLAINTIFF's attorney at the number on the face sheet of the Complaint, and				
22	the name of the PLAINTIFF will be provided.				
23	2. PLAINTIFF JOHN ZA DOE is a natural person who at all relevant times was a				
24	resident of the County of Sacramento, State of California. PLAINTIFF's date of birth is January				
25	14, 2005.				
26 27	3. Beatriz Saucedo is the mother of PLAINTIFF JOHN ZA DOE and has been				
28	appointed Guardian ad Litem for PLAINTIFF.				

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

- 4. At all relevant times hereto, Defendant PARK ROYAL ESTATES (Hereinafter "TRAILER PARK") was a corporation licensed by the California Secretary of State doing business as a mobile home park located in Sacramento County, California and held itself out as operating a safe and secure mobile home park.
- 5. Defendant TRAILER PARK owns and operates Park Royal Estates at 1910 Routier Road, Sacramento, California. Said TRAILER PARK has approximately 60 spaces for vehicles with approximately 55 occupied at all relevant times mentioned herein. PLAINTIFF's parents moved into TRAILER PARK (1910 Routier Road, Sacramento, California) with PLAINTIFF and his three siblings in or around April 2012.
- 6. At all relevant times hereto, Defendant CASCADE CORPORATE MANAGEMENT, INC. (Hereinafter "CASCADE") was a corporation licensed by the California Secretary of State doing business as a management corporation which exists to operate mobile home communities located in Sacramento County, California.
- 7. Defendant CASCADE owns and operates mobile home communities in need of professional management located in Sacramento County, California. Defendant CASCADE holds itself out as having an experienced management team that understands what it means to add value to a community that both owners and tenants can appreciate year after year.
- 8. PLAINTIFF is ignorant of the true names and capacity of Defendants sued herein as ROES or of the factors linking them to the causes of action stated herein and therefore sues such Defendants by such fictitious names. PLAINTIFF will amend his Complaint to allege the true names and capacities of ROES when ascertained. PLAINTIFF is informed and believes and thereon alleges that each of the ROE Defendants is responsible in some manner for the events and happenings hereinafter referred to, thereby proximately causing injury and damage to the PLAINTIFF as herein alleged.
- 9. PLAINTIFF is informed and believes and thereon alleges that at all times herein mentioned, Defendants and each of them, were the agents, servants, employee and/or joint venturers of their co-defendants and were, as such, acting within the scope, course and authority of

said agency employment and/or joint venture and that each and every Defendant, as aforesaid, has ratified and approved of the acts of his or her agent.

- 10. PLAINTIFF's parents moved into TRAILER PARK (1910 Routier Road, Sacramento, California) with PLAINTIFF and his three siblings in or around April 2012.
- 11. On or around June 16, 2013, PLAINTIFF's mother learned that PLAINTIFF had been sodomized by a 15-year-old resident (Hereinaster "PERPETRATOR") of TRAILER PARK on TRAILER PARK grounds.
- 12. PERPETRATOR had a prior history of deviant sexual behavior that had occurred on the premises of the TRAILER PARK, and was known by TRAILER PARK employees, prior to the sexual assault of PLAINTIFF. PERPETRATOR had handcuffed himself to an eight-year-old girl within a year on or about July 2012. PERPETRATOR was bringing said girl to a makeshift tent where he had condoms. PERPETRATOR sodomized PLAINTIFF, and other minor residents of TRAILER PARK on park premises. PERPETRATOR sexually attacked other boys in a laundry room near the swimming pool, and in a park by the swimming pool, all on TRAILER PARK premises. PERPETRATOR threatened PLAINTIFF and other minor victims, which included the statement that PERPETRATOR would kill the members of PLAINTIFF's family. In addition to sexually assaulting PLAINTIFF, PERPETRATOR videoed said assault, and showed video that was on a phone to others.
- 13. At least some of the wrongful acts mentioned herein occurred in Sacramento County; therefore, venue is properly placed in Sacramento County.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Negligence - All Defendants)

- 14. PLAINTIFF realleges and incorporates by reference as though fully set forth herein each and every General Allegation as if said allegations were fully set forth herein and with the same force and effect.
- 15. When PLAINTIFF and his mother moved to TRAILER PARK in April 2012, Defendant TRAILER PARK promised PLAINTIFF and PLAINTIFF's mother a safe and secure

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Negligent Supervision - All Defendants)

- 22. PLAINTIFF realleges and incorporates by reference as though fully set forth in the First Cause of Action, as if said allegations were fully set forth herein and with the same force and effect.
- 23. Defendant TRAILER PARK and Does 3-30, had a duty to provide reasonable supervision of its premises at 1910 Routier Road, Sacramento, California and of PERPETRATOR; to use reasonable care in investigating PERPETRATOR; and to provide adequate warning to PLAINTIFF, PLAINTIFF's parents, and other minor students, of PERPETRATOR's dangerous propensities and unfitness.
- 24. Defendant TRAILER PARK and Does 3-30, knew or reasonably should have known, of the problems encountered by minor resident of TRAILER PARK. Defendants knew, or should have known, that PERPETRATOR was a danger to vulnerable minors. Despite such knowledge, Defendants negligently failed to supervise, or provide reasonable supervision of PERPETRATOR, failed to use reasonable care in investigating PERPETRATOR, failed to provide adequate warning to PLAINTIFF, PLAINTIFF's parents and other minor residents and their parents at the TRAILER PARK, of PERPETRATOR's dangerous propensities and failed to establish and implement policies and procedures that would ensure the safety of minors who lived at TRAILER PARK. PERPETRATOR was thereby able to commit wrongful acts against the PLAINTIFF.
- 25. As a result of the foregoing conduct of Defendants, PLAINTIFF was harmed as set forth below.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(Premises Liability - All Defendants)

- 26. PLAINTIFF incorporates herein by reference the Second Cause of Action as though fully set forth herein and with the same force and effect.
- 27. At the above-mentioned location, on or around April 6, 2012, the Defendant TRAILER PARK, individually and/or by and through its agents, servants and/or employees

maintained the aforementioned premises including the bathrooms, swimming pool, rooms, closets, entrances and exits to said premises in such a manner where the areas became dangerous and caused injury to PLAINTIFF.

- 28. At the aforesaid time and place, Defendants TRAILER PARK and ROES 1 through 20, individually and/or by and through its agents, servants and/or employees, had a duty to maintain the aforementioned premises, including hospital rooms, hallways, entrances and exits, in a reasonably safe condition for persons lawfully on said premises, to include the PLAINTIFF herein.
- 29. PLAINTIFF is informed and believes and based thereon alleges, Defendants TRAILER PARK and ROES 1 through 20, and each of them, by and through their agents, servants and employees, managed and operated and held open for residential and living purposes the premises of TRAILER PARK located at 1910 Routier Road, Sacramento, California. Defendants TRAILER PARK and ROES 1 through 20 failed to exercise reasonable care to discover accidental, negligent or intentionally harmful acts of third persons that were being done or were likely to be done or give warning adequate to enable patients and visitors to avoid the harm, or otherwise protect themselves against it.
- 30. Despite the aforementioned knowledge, Defendants TRAILER PARK and ROES 1 through 20 negligently failed to warn PLAINTIFF and PLAINTIFF's parents and created a situation where PERPETRATOR was able to commit harmful and wrongful acts upon PLAINTIFF. Defendants TRAILER PARK and ROES 1 through 20 had control over the premises and failed to take precautions to protect against the risk of harm from an obviously unsafe condition.
- 31. At the aforesaid time and place, Defendants TRAILER PARK and ROES 1 through 20, as the maintainer of the aforementioned premises, either individually or by and through its agents, servants and/or employees acted with less than reasonable care and was then and there guilty of one or more of the following careless acts and/or omissions:
 - a. Improperly operated, managed, maintained and controlled its premises in failing to properly maintain the aforementioned hospital rooms, hallways, entrances and exits on the premises;

b.	Failed to warn the PLAINTIFF and his parents who were lawfully		
	on said premises of the dangerous condition when Defendants		
	knew or should have known in the exercise of ordinary care that		
	said warning was necessary to prevent injury to the PLAINTIFF.		

c. Was otherwise careless and negligent in the operation of its premises.

- 32. Defendants breached their duty to take reasonable protective measures to protect PLAINTIFF from the risk of physical harm by PERPETRATOR. Defendants failed to take affirmative action to isolate and control PERPETRATOR who posed a foreseeable injury to PLAINTIFF and others who lived on and visited the premises at 1910 Routier Road, Sacramento, California.
- 33. As a direct result of Defendants' negligence, PLAINTIFF was caused harm as more fully set forth below.

DAMAGES

- 34. As a direct, legal, and proximate result of the above Causes of Action hereinabove alleged, PLAINTIFF has been damaged as set forth below.
- 35. PLAINTIFF has suffered psychological and emotional injury and harm, all to PLAINTIFF's general damages in a sum to be proven. PLAINTIFF has further suffered an exacerbation of any emotional difficulties which were pre-existing Defendants' failure to file a CPS report.
- 36. PLAINTIFF has been significantly traumatized and has suffered and continues to suffer extreme mental, emotional and physical injuries to his health and well-being. PLAINTIFF has suffered extreme mental anguish and has been permanently scarred in a sum as yet unascertained. PLAINTIFF will ask leave of court to amend this Complaint to state the exact amount of expenses when they are ascertained.
- 37. PLAINTIFF has suffered and will in the future continue to suffer a loss of earnings and of earning capacity, in a sum as yet unascertained. PLAINTIFF will ask leave of court to amend this Complaint to state the exact amount of expenses when they are ascertained.

1	WHEREFORE, PLAINTIFF prays for judgment against Defendants, and each of them, a				
2	follows:				
3	(1)	For damages for page	st and future me	dical and related expenses according to proof at	
4	the time of t	f trial;			
5	(2)	For general damage	s for physical, n	nental pain and suffering, and emotional distress	
6	in a sum to be proven at the time of trial;				
7	(3)	For damages for pa	For damages for past and future lost wages and loss of earning capacity according		
8	to proof at th	proof at the time of trial;			
9	(4)	For prejudgment interest pursuant to statute; and			
10	(5)	For such other and i	For such other and further relief as the court deems just and proper.		
11					
12	Dated: Apri	l 15, 2014	LAW	OFFICES OF JOSEPH C. GEORGE, Ph.D.	
13					
14			Ву:	JOSEPH C. GEORGE	
15				Attorney for Plaintiff JOHN ZA DOE	
16					
17					
18					
19 20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
20					